

## Site Security Certification Report

### ARM Sophia Antipolis

738 Avenue de Roumanille 06410 Biot - Sophia Antipolis, France

Sponsor: **ARM Limited**  
110 Fulbourn Road  
Cambridge, CB1 6NJ  
England

Site Operator: **ARM Sophia Antipolis**  
738 Avenue de Roumanille  
06410 Biot – Sophia Antipolis  
France

Evaluation facility: **SGS Brightsight B.V.**  
Brassersplein 2  
2612 CT Delft  
The Netherlands

Report number: **NSCIB-SS-2500025-01-CR**

Report version: **1**

Project number: **NSCIB-2500025-01**

Author(s): **Gordon Caffrey**

Date: **19 December 2025**

Number of pages: **9**

Number of appendices: **0**

*Reproduction of this report is authorised only if the report is reproduced in its entirety.*

# CONTENTS

<b>Foreword</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Recognition of the Certificate</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>1 Executive Summary</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>2 Certification Results</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1 Site Identification	6
2.2 Scope: Physical	6
2.3 Scope: Logical	6
2.4 Evaluation Approach	6
2.5 Evaluation Results	6
2.6 Comments/Recommendations	7
<b>3 Site Security Target</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>4 Definitions</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>5 Bibliography</b>	<b>9</b>

## Foreword

The Netherlands Scheme for Certification in the Area of IT Security (NSCIB) provides a third-party evaluation and certification service for determining the trustworthiness of Information Technology (IT) security products. Under this NSCIB, TrustCB B.V. has the task of issuing certificates for IT security products, as well as for protection profiles and sites.

Part of the procedure is the technical examination (evaluation) of the product, protection profile or site according to the Common Criteria assessment guidelines published by the NSCIB. Evaluations are performed by an IT Security Evaluation Facility (ITSEF) under the oversight of the NSCIB Certification Body, which is operated by TrustCB B.V. in cooperation with the Ministry of the Interior and Kingdom Relations.

An ITSEF in the Netherlands is a commercial facility that has been licensed by TrustCB B.V. to perform Common Criteria evaluations; a significant requirement for such a licence is accreditation to the requirements of ISO Standard 17025 “General requirements for the accreditation of calibration and testing laboratories”.

By awarding a Common Criteria certificate, TrustCB B.V. asserts that the product or site complies with the security requirements specified in the associated (site) security target, or that the protection profile (PP) complies with the requirements for PP evaluation specified in the Common Criteria for Information Security Evaluation. A (site) security target is a requirements specification document that defines the scope of the evaluation activities.

The consumer should review the (site) security target or protection profile, in addition to this certification report, to gain an understanding of any assumptions made during the evaluation, the IT product's intended environment, its security requirements, and the level of confidence (i.e., the evaluation assurance level) that the product or site satisfies the security requirements stated in the (site) security target.

Reproduction of this report is authorised only if the report is reproduced in its entirety.

## Recognition of the Certificate

At the time of publication, the Common Criteria Recognition Arrangement (CCRA) and the SOG-IS Mutual Recognition Agreement (SOG-IS MRA) do not cover the recognition of Site Certificates. The site-security evaluation process, however, followed all the rules of these agreements and used the agreed supporting document for site certification [CCDB]. Therefore, the results of this evaluation and certification procedure can be reused by any scheme in subsequent product evaluations and certification procedures that make use of the certified site.

Presence of the CCRA and SOG-IS logos on this certificate would indicate that the certificate is issued in accordance with the provisions of the CCRA and the SOG-IS MRA and is recognised by the participating nations. The CCRA and the SOG-IS MRA do not cover site certification, however, so these logos are not present on this certificate.

## 1 Executive Summary

This Certification Report states the outcome of the Common Criteria security evaluation of the ARM Sophia Antipolis. The sponsor of the evaluation and certification is ARM Limited located in Cambridge, England and the operator of the site is ARM Sophia Antipolis.

The evaluated site is: ARM Sophia Antipolis, 738 Avenue de Roumanille 06410 Biot - Sophia Antipolis, France.

The site is used by ARM Limited to participate in the development, testing and production of hardware/software for secure IC hardware products. To perform its activities, the site uses the ARM Limited/ARM Sophia Antipolis provided remote IT-infrastructure and local IT equipment (workstations, router, VPN) and works according to the ARM Limited/ARM Sophia Antipolis defined processes.

The site activities could be related to Phase 1 and Phase 2 of the seven phases of the Lifecycle Model as defined in [PP].

The site has been evaluated by SGS Brightsight B.V. located in Delft, The Netherlands. The evaluation was completed on 19 December 2025 with the approval of the ETR. The certification procedure has been conducted in accordance with the provisions of the Netherlands Scheme for Certification in the Area of IT Security [NSCIB].

The scope of the evaluation is defined by the Site Security Target [SST], which identifies assumptions made during the evaluation and the level of confidence (evaluation assurance level) the site is intended to satisfy for product evaluations. Users of this site certification are advised to verify that their own use of, and interaction with, the site is consistent with the Site Security Target, and to give due consideration to the comments, observations and recommendations in this certification report.

The results documented in the Evaluation Technical Report [ETR]<sup>1</sup> and [STAR]<sup>2</sup> for this site provide sufficient evidence that this site meets the EAL6 assurance components ALC\_CMC.5, ALC\_CMS.5, ALC\_DVS.2 (at AVA\_VAN.5 level), ALC\_LCD.1, ALC\_DEL.1 and ALC\_FLR.1.

The evaluation was conducted using the Common Methodology for Information Technology Security Evaluation, CEM:2022 [CEM] and the Supporting Document Guidance: CCDB-2007-11-001 Site Certification, October 2007, Version 1.0, Revision 1 [CCDB], for conformance to the Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation, CC:2022 [CC].

TrustCB B.V., as the NSCIB Certification Body, declares that the evaluation meets all the conditions of the Common Criteria and that the site certificate will be included on the NSCIB Certificates list. Note that the certification results apply only to the specific site, used in the manner defined in the [SST] and [SST-Lite].

---

<sup>1</sup> The Evaluation Technical Report contains information proprietary to the developer and/or the evaluator, and is not available for public review.

<sup>2</sup> The Site Technical Audit Report contains information necessary to an evaluation lab and certification body for the reuse of the site audit report in a TOE evaluation.

## 2 Certification Results

### 2.1 Site Identification

The Target of Evaluation (TOE) for this evaluation is the ARM Sophia Antipolis located in Sophia Antipolis, France.

### 2.2 Scope: Physical

This site certification considers two buildings occupied only by ARM Limited Sophia Antipolis.

The Arm Sophia Antipolis site comprises two buildings of two-floors each.

- A Secure room where intended TOE development occurs.
- Supporting technical rooms, including patch rooms and a server room, where TOE-related assets are transmitted and supporting infrastructure is hosted.

#### Science building:

- Ground floor – Sophia Secure Room and patch room (G048)
- First floor – patch room (1048)

#### Art building:

- Ground floor – server room (G052A)
- First floor – patch room (1042)

### 2.3 Scope: Logical

The site in scope here is dedicated to secure core IP development. The activities of the site cover the lifecycle phase IC Development (Phase 2) as defined in Security IC Platform Protection Profile with Augmentation Packages, Version 1.0, Eurosmart, 13.01.2014 [2]. Supporting services are provided within the same building, such as physical site security, local IT management, HR related services, and facilities management.

Within this phase, the site is involved in:

- ALC\_DVS to control access to the assets (at AVA\_VAN.5 level)
- ALC\_CMC/CMS to handle the site internal documentation and TOE development-related configuration items
- ALC\_LCD as part of TOE development and testing
- ALC\_DEL as part of TOE development and testing.
- ALC\_FLR as part of TOE development and testing.

### 2.4 Evaluation Approach

The evaluation is a re-evaluation, based on developer documentation of a minor site change.

In the evaluation all evaluator actions, including a site visit, have been performed. The site audit was carried out in-person. For assessment of the ALC\_DVS aspects, the Minimum Site Security Requirements [MSSR] have been used.

### 2.5 Evaluation Results

The evaluation lab documented its evaluation results in the [ETR]<sup>3</sup>, which references other evaluator documents. To support reuse of the site evaluation activities a derived document [STAR]<sup>4</sup> was

---

<sup>3</sup> The Evaluation Technical Report contains information proprietary to the developer and/or the evaluator, and is not available for public review.

provided and approved. This document provides details of the site evaluation that must be considered when this site is used in a product evaluation.

The evaluation lab concluded that the site meets the assurance requirements listed in the [SST] as assessed in accordance with [CC], [CEM] and [CCDB].

## **2.6 Comments/Recommendations**

The Site Security Target [SST] contains necessary information about the usage of the site. During a product evaluation, the evidence for fulfilment of the Assumptions listed in the [SST] shall be examined by the evaluator of the product when reusing the results of this site evaluation.

---

<sup>4</sup> The Site Technical Audit Report contains information necessary to an evaluation lab and certification body for the reuse of the site audit report in a TOE evaluation.

### 3 Site Security Target

The Arm Site Security Target Sophia Antipolis, Reference 110641, Version 1.0, 25 July 2025 [SST] is included here by reference.

Please note that for the need of publication a public version [SST-lite] has been created and verified according to [ST-SAN].

### 4 Definitions

This list of acronyms and definitions contains elements that are not already defined by the CC or CEM:

IT	Information Technology
ITSEF	IT Security Evaluation Facility
JIL	Joint Interpretation Library
MSSR	Minimum Site Security Requirements
NSCIB	Netherlands Scheme for Certification in the area of IT Security
TOE	Target of Evaluation

## 5 Bibliography

This section lists all referenced documentation used as source material in the compilation of this report.

- [CC] Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Parts 1 to 5, CC:2022 Revision 1, November 2022
- [CCDB] Supporting Document Guidance: CCDB-2007-11-001 Site Certification, October 2007, Version 1.0, Revision 1
- [CEM] Common Methodology for Information Technology Security Evaluation, CEM:2022 Revision 1, November 2022
- [ETR] 25-RPT-699, Evaluation Technical Report Site Audit “ARM Sophia Antipolis”, v5.0, 18 December 2025
- [MSSR] Joint Interpretation Library, Minimum Site Security Requirements, Version 3.1, December 2023
- [NSCIB] Netherlands Scheme for Certification in the Area of IT Security, Version 2.6, 02 August 2022
- [PP] Security IC Platform Protection Profile with Augmentation Packages, BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014, Revision 1.0, 13 January 2014
- [SST] Arm Site Security Target Sophia Antipolis, Reference 110641, Version 1.0, 25 July 2025
- [SST-lite] Arm Site Lite Security Target Sophia Antipolis, Reference 111052, Version 1.0 20 August 2025
- [ST-SAN] ST sanitising for publication, CC Supporting Document CCDB-2006-04-004, April 2006
- [STAR] 25-RPT-872, Site Technical Audit Report - ARM Sophia Antipolis, v3.0, 18 December 2025

(This is the end of this report.)