TRUSTCB
TRUST AND VERIFY

Certification Report

SECORA™ ID S v1.2 (SLJ52GxxyyyzS)

Sponsor and developer: ***Infineon Technologies AG***
Am Campeon 1 - 15
85579 Neubiberg
Germany

Evaluation facility: ***SGS Brightsight B.V.***
Brassersplein 2
2612 CT Delft
The Netherlands

Report number: **NSCIB-CC-2400131-01-CR**

Report version: **1**

Project number: NSCIB-**2400131-01**

Author(s): **Jordi Mujal**

Date: **30 May 2025**

Number of pages: **11**

Number of appendices: **0**

CONTENTS

Foreword

The Netherlands Scheme for Certification in the Area of IT Security (NSCIB) provides a third-party evaluation and certification service for determining the trustworthiness of Information Technology (IT) security products. Under this NSCIB, TrustCB B.V. has the task of issuing certificates for IT security products, as well as for protection profiles and sites.

Part of the procedure is the technical examination (evaluation) of the product, protection profile or site according to the Common Criteria assessment guidelines published by the NSCIB. Evaluations are performed by an IT Security Evaluation Facility (ITSEF) under the oversight of the NSCIB Certification Body, which is operated by TrustCB B.V. in cooperation with the Ministry of the Interior and Kingdom Relations.

An ITSEF in the Netherlands is a commercial facility that has been licensed by TrustCB B.V. to perform Common Criteria evaluations; a significant requirement for such a licence is accreditation to the requirements of ISO Standard 17025 “General requirements for the accreditation of calibration and testing laboratories”.

By awarding a Common Criteria certificate, TrustCB B.V. asserts that the product or site complies with the security requirements specified in the associated (site) security target, or that the protection profile (PP) complies with the requirements for PP evaluation specified in the Common Criteria for Information Security Evaluation. A (site) security target is a requirements specification document that defines the scope of the evaluation activities.

The consumer should review the (site) security target or protection profile, in addition to this certification report, to gain an understanding of any assumptions made during the evaluation, the IT product's intended environment, its security requirements, and the level of confidence (i.e., the evaluation assurance level) that the product or site satisfies the security requirements stated in the (site) security target.

Reproduction of this report is authorised only if the report is reproduced in its entirety.

Recognition of the Certificate

Presence of the Common Criteria Recognition Arrangement (CCRA) and the SOG-IS logos on the certificate indicates that this certificate is issued in accordance with the provisions of the CCRA and the SOG-IS Mutual Recognition Agreement (SOG-IS MRA) and will be recognised by the participating nations.

International recognition

The CCRA was signed by the Netherlands in May 2000 and provides mutual recognition of certificates based on the Common Criteria (CC). Since September 2014 the CCRA has been updated to provide mutual recognition of certificates based on cPPs (exact use) or STs with evaluation assurance components up to and including EAL2+ALC_FLR.

For details of the current list of signatory nations and approved certification schemes, see http://www.commoncriteriaportal.org.

European recognition

The SOG-IS MRA Version 3, effective since April 2010, provides mutual recognition in Europe of Common Criteria and ITSEC certificates at a basic evaluation level for all products. A higher recognition level for evaluation levels beyond EAL4 (respectively E3-basic) is provided for products related to specific technical domains. This agreement was signed initially by Finland, France, Germany, The Netherlands, Norway, Spain, Sweden and the United Kingdom. Italy joined the SOG-IS MRA in December 2010.

For details of the current list of signatory nations, approved certification schemes and the list of technical domains for which the higher recognition applies, see https://www.sogis.eu.

1 Executive Summary

This Certification Report states the outcome of the Common Criteria security evaluation of the SECORA™ ID S v1.2 (SLJ52GxxyyyzS). The developer of the SECORA™ ID S v1.2 (SLJ52GxxyyyzS) is Infineon Technologies AG located in Neubiberg, Germany and they also act as the sponsor of the evaluation and certification. A Certification Report is intended to assist prospective consumers when judging the suitability of the IT security properties of the product for their particular requirements.

The TOE is a Java Card Platform compliant with Java Card Specification (Classic Edition) version 3.0.5 and GlobalPlatform Specification v.2.3.1 with Amendment D and Card ID Configuration v1.0 implemented on certified IFX_CCI_000005 *[HW-CERT]*. The TOE allows post-issuance downloading of applications that have been previously verified by an off-card verifier. It constitutes a secure generic platform that supports multi-application runtime environment and provides facilities for secure loading and interoperability between different applications.

The TOE was previously evaluated by SGS Brightsight B.V located in Delft, The Netherlands and was certified under the accreditation of TÜV Rheinland Nederland on 30 August 2022 (CC-22-175887). The current evaluation of the TOE has also been conducted by SGS Brightsight B.V. and was completed on 30 May 2025 with the approval of the ETR. The certification procedure has been conducted in accordance with the provisions of the Netherlands Scheme for Certification in the Area of IT Security *[NSCIB]*.

The major changes from previous evaluations are:

- Guidance documents update
- HW platform recertification
- OS updates

The certification took into account that the security evaluation reused the evaluation results of previously performed evaluations. A full, up-to-date vulnerability analysis has been made, as well as renewed testing.

The scope of the evaluation is defined by the security target *[ST]*, which identifies assumptions made during the evaluation, the intended environment for the SECORA™ ID S v1.2 (SLJ52GxxyyyzS), the security requirements, and the level of confidence (evaluation assurance level) at which the product is intended to satisfy the security requirements. Consumers of the SECORA™ ID S v1.2 (SLJ52GxxyyyzS) are advised to verify that their own environment is consistent with the security target, and to give due consideration to the comments, observations and recommendations in this certification report.

The results documented in the evaluation technical report *[ETR]* [1] for this product provide sufficient evidence that the TOE meets the EAL6 augmented (EAL6+) assurance requirements for the evaluated security functionality. This assurance level is augmented with ALC_FLR.1 (Basic flaw remediation).

The evaluation was conducted using the Common Methodology for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Version 3.1 Revision 5 *[CEM]* for conformance to the Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Version 3.1 Revision 5 *[CC]* (Parts I, II and III).

TrustCB B.V., as the NSCIB Certification Body, declares that the evaluation meets all the conditions for international recognition of Common Criteria Certificates and that the product will be listed on the NSCIB Certified Products list. Note that the certification results apply only to the specific version of the product as evaluated.

[1] The Evaluation Technical Report contains information proprietary to the developer and/or the evaluator, and is not available for public review.

2 Certification Results

2.1 Identification of Target of Evaluation

The Target of Evaluation (TOE) for this evaluation is the SECORA™ ID S v1.2 (SLJ52GxxyyyzS) from Infineon Technologies AG located in Neubiberg, Germany.

The TOE is comprised of the following main components:

Delivery item type	Identifier	Version
Hardware	Hardware Platform	IFX_CCI_000005
Software	Asymmetric Crypto Library (ACL)	2.09.002
	Symmetric Crypto Library (SCL)	2.04.002
	Hardware Support Library (HSL)	03.12.8812
	Embedded OS	1518

To ensure secure usage a set of guidance documents is provided, together with the SECORA™ ID S v1.2 (SLJ52GxxyyyzS). For details, see section 2.5 “Documentation” of this report.

For a detailed and precise description of the TOE lifecycle refer to the *[ST]*, chapter 1.4.4.

2.2 Security Policy

The Java Card OS supports the following:

- Cryptographic algorithms:
  - AES 128/192/256 Cipher Scheme for secure messaging (ENC), message authentication (MAC) and authentication procedures
  - TDES Cipher Scheme for secure messaging (ENC), message authentication (MAC) and authentication procedures.
  - RSA encryption and decryption up to 4k
- Signature algorithms
  - ECDSA with SHA-1/SHA-2
  - RSA PKCS#1 with SHA-2
  - RSA PSS with SHA256
- Key agreement algorithms
  - ECDH with KDF and with XY
  - PACE with generic mapping
- Key pair generation
  - EC
  - RSA with modulus/exponent and CRT
- Key Sizes
  - AES 128/192/256
  - TDES 128/192
  - RSA modulus sizes from 512 to 4096 bits
  - EC curves according to NIST and Brainpool
    - NIST standard curves from FIPS 186-3: P224, P256, P384, P521
    - Brainpool curves from RFC 5639: BrainpoolP224, BrainpoolP256r1, BrainpoolP320r1, BrainpoolP384r1,BrainpoolP512r1, BrainpoolP256t1,BrainpoolP320t1,BrainpoolP384t1, BrainpoolP512t1

- Message digest algorithms
  - SHA-1 (Note: SHA-1 as a security algorithm is only used as part of a session key derivation)
  - SHA-2 family: SHA224, SHA256, SHA384, SHA512
- Random number generation algorithms
  - Hybrid physical RNG according to AIS31 PTG.3

2.3 Assumptions and Clarification of Scope

2.3.1 Assumptions

The assumptions defined in the Security Target are not covered by the TOE itself. These aspects lead to specific Security Objectives to be fulfilled by the TOE-Environment. For detailed information on the security objectives that must be fulfilled by the TOE environment, see section 5.2 of the *[ST]*.

2.3.2 Clarification of scope

The evaluation did not reveal any threats to the TOE that are not countered by the evaluated security functions of the product.

2.4 Architectural Information

The logical architecture of the TOE can be depicted as follows, the underlying platform of which has been independently certified *[HW-CERT]*:



2.5 Documentation

The following documentation is provided with the product by the developer to the customer:

Name	Version
SECORA™ ID S v1.2 Administration Guide	1.80, 2024-10-24
SECORA™ ID S v1.2 Databook	2.10, 2024-09-30
SECORA™ ID S Security Guidance	2.80, 2025-04-02

Name	Version
SECORA™ ID S v1.2 SLJ52GxAyyyzS System Release Notes	2.70, 2024-10-24
SECORA™ ID S v1.2 SLJ52GxTyyyzS System Release Notes	2.70, 2024-10-24
SECORA™ ID S v1.2 Product API Specification	1.02.1442, 2020-11-18

2.6 IT Product Testing

Testing (depth, coverage, functional tests, independent testing): The evaluators examined the developer's testing activities documentation and verified that the developer has met their testing responsibilities.

2.6.1 Testing approach and depth

The developer performed extensive testing on functional specification, subsystem and SFR-enforcing module level. All parameter choices were addressed at least once. All boundary cases identified were tested explicitly, and additionally the near-boundary conditions were covered probabilistically. The testing was largely automated using industry standard and proprietary test suites. Test scripts were used extensively to verify that the functions return the expected values.

The underlying hardware and crypto-library test results are extendable to composite evaluations, because the underlying platform is operated according to its guidance and the composite evaluation requirements are met.

For the testing performed by the evaluators, the developer provided samples and a test environment. The evaluators reproduced a selection of the developer tests, as well as a small number of test cases designed by the evaluator.

2.6.2 Independent penetration testing

The methodical analysis performed was conducted along the following steps:

- When evaluating the evidence in the classes ASE, ADV and AGD the evaluator considers whether potential vulnerabilities can already be identified due to the TOE type and/or specified behaviour.
- A thorough implementation representation review (ADV_IMP) was performed. The analysis was driven by the attack methods defined in *[JIL-AP]*. An important source for assurance in this step is the technical report *[HW-ETRfC]* of the underlying platform.
- All potential vulnerabilities are analysed and a judgment was made on their exploitability. The potential vulnerabilities are addressed by penetration testing, a guidance update or code update.

The total test effort expended by the evaluators was 7 weeks. During that test campaign, 14.3% of the total time was spent on Perturbation attacks, 71.4% on side-channel testing, and 14.3% on logical tests.

2.6.3 Test configuration

The configuration of the sample used for independent evaluator testing and penetration testing was the same as described in the *[ST]*.

2.6.4 Test results

The testing activities, including configurations, procedures, test cases, expected results and observed results are summarised in the *[ETR]*, with references to the documents containing the full details.

The developer's tests and the independent functional tests produced the expected results, giving assurance that the TOE behaves as specified in its *[ST]* and functional specification.

No exploitable vulnerabilities were found with the independent penetration tests.

The algorithmic security level of cryptographic functionality has not been rated in this certification process, but the current consensus on the algorithmic security level in the open domain, i.e., from the current best cryptanalytic attacks published, has been taken into account.

Not all key sizes specified in the *[ST]* have sufficient cryptographic strength for satisfying the AVA_VAN.5 “high attack potential”. The TOE supports a wider range of key sizes (see *[ST]*), including those with sufficient algorithmic security level to exceed 100 bits as required for high attack potential (AVA_VAN.5).

The strength of the implementation of the cryptographic functionality has been assessed in the evaluation, as part of the AVA_VAN activities.

For composite evaluations, please consult the *[ETRfC]* for details.

2.7 Reused Evaluation Results

There is no reuse of evaluation results in this certification.

There has been extensive reuse of the ALC aspects for the sites involved in the development and production of the TOE, by use of multiple site certificates and Site Technical Audit Reports.

No sites have been visited as part of this evaluation.

2.8 Evaluated Configuration

The TOE is defined uniquely by its name and version number SECORA™ ID S v1.2 (SLJ52GxxyyyzS).

2.9 Evaluation Results

The evaluation lab documented their evaluation results in the *[ETR]*, which references an ASE Intermediate Report and other evaluator documents. To support composite evaluations according to *[COMP]* a derived document *[ETRfC]* was provided and approved. This document provides details of the TOE evaluation that must be considered when this TOE is used as platform in a composite evaluation.

The verdict of each claimed assurance requirement is “**Pass**”.

Based on the above evaluation results the evaluation lab concluded the SECORA™ ID S v1.2 (SLJ52GxxyyyzS), to be **CC Part 2 extended, CC Part 3 conformant**, and to meet the requirements of **EAL 6 augmented with ALC_FLR.1**. This implies that the product satisfies the security requirements specified in Security Target *[ST]*.

The Security Target claims ‘demonstrable’ conformance to the Protection Profile *[JCPP]*.

2.10 Comments/Recommendations

The user guidance as outlined in section 2.5 “Documentation” contains necessary information about the usage of the TOE. Certain aspects of the TOE’s security functionality, in particular the countermeasures against attacks, depend on accurate conformance to the user guidance of both the software and the hardware part of the TOE. There are no particular obligations or recommendations for the user apart from following the user guidance. Please note that the documents contain relevant details concerning the resistance against certain attacks.

In addition, all aspects of assumptions, threats and policies as outlined in the Security Target not covered by the TOE itself must be fulfilled by the operational environment of the TOE.

The customer or user of the product shall consider the results of the certification within his system risk management process. For the evolution of attack methods and techniques to be covered, the customer should define the period of time until a re-assessment for the TOE is required and thus requested from the sponsor of the certificate.

The strength of the cryptographic algorithms and protocols was not rated in the course of this evaluation. This specifically applies to the following proprietary or non-standard algorithms, protocols and implementations: None.

Not all key sizes specified in the *[ST]* have sufficient cryptographic strength to satisfy the AVA_VAN.5 “high attack potential”. To be protected against attackers with a "high attack potential", appropriate cryptographic algorithms with sufficiently large cryptographic key sizes shall be used (references can be found in national and international documents and standards).

3 Security Target

The SECORA™ ID S v1.2 (SLJ52GxxyyyzS) Security Target, Rev 2.8 16 April 2025 *[ST]* is included here by reference.

4 Definitions

This list of acronyms and definitions contains elements that are not already defined by the CC or CEM:

AES	Advanced Encryption Standard
APDU	Application Protocol Data Unit
CRT	Chinese Remainder Theorem
EC	Elliptic Curve
ECDH	Elliptic Curve Diffie-Hellman algorithm
ECDSA	Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm
GPAPI	Global Platform Application Programming Interface
IO	Input/Output
IT	Information Technology
ITSEF	IT Security Evaluation Facility
JCAPI	Java Card Application Programming Interface
JCVM	Java Card Virtual Machine
JIL	Joint Interpretation Library
KDF	Key Derivation Function
NSCIB	Netherlands Scheme for Certification in the area of IT Security
PACE	Password-Authenticated Connection Establishment
PKCS	Public Key Cryptography Standards
PP	Protection Profile
RSA	Rivest-Shamir-Adleman Algorithm
SHA	Secure Hash Algorithm
TDES	Triple Data Encryption Standard
TOE	Target of Evaluation

5 Bibliography

This section lists all referenced documentation used as source material in the compilation of this report.

[CC]	Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Parts I, II and III, Version 3.1 Revision 5, April 2017
[CEM]	Common Methodology for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Version 3.1 Revision 5, April 2017
[COMP]	Joint Interpretation Library, Composite product evaluation for Smart Cards and similar devices, Version 1.6, April 2024
[ETR]	Evaluation Technical Report SECORA™ ID S v1.2 (SLJ52GxxyyyzS) – EAL6+, 25-RPT-208, version 3.0, 30 May 2025
[ETRfC]	Evaluation Technical Report for Composition “SECORA™ ID S v1.2 (SLJ52GxxyyyzS)” – EAL6+, 25-RPT-625, version 3.0, 30 May 2025
[HW-CERT]	BSI-DSZ-CC-1110-V7-2024 for Infineon Security Controller IFX_CCI_000003h, 000005h, 000008h, 00000Ch, 000013h, 000014h, 000015h, 00001Ch, 00001Dh, 000021h, 000022h in the design step H13 from Infineon Technologies AG, v1.0, 30 September 2024
[HW-ETRfC]	EVALUATION TECHNICAL REPORT FOR COMPOSITE EVALUATION (ETR COMP) BSI-DSZ-CC-1110-V6, Version 3, 2023-12-01, and EVALUATION TECHNICAL REPORT FOR COMPOSITE EVALUATION ADDENDUM (ETR COMP_ADD), Version 3, 2024-09-20
[HW-ST]	Common Criteria Public Security Target EAL6 augmented/EAL6+ IFX_CCI_000003h IFX_CCI_000005h IFX_CCI_000008h IFX_CCI_00000Ch IFX_CCI_000013h IFX_CCI_000014h IFX_CCI_000015h IFX_CCI_00001Ch IFX_CCI_00001Dh IFX_CCI_000021h IFX_CCI_000022h H13, Including optional Software Libraries Flash Loader – 4x ACL – 4x HSL – 3x SCL – HCL - NRG – CCL, rev. 5.1, 2024-09-11
[JIL-AAPS]	JIL Application of Attack Potential to Smartcards, Version 3.2.1, February 2024
[JIL-AMS]	Attack Methods for Smartcards and Similar Devices, Version 2.5, May 2022 (sensitive with controlled distribution)
[NSCIB]	Netherlands Scheme for Certification in the Area of IT Security, Version 2.6, 02 August 2022
[JCPP]	Java Card Protection Profile – Open Configuration, v3.0, May 2012, registered under the reference ANSSI-CC-PP-2010/03-M01
[ST]	SECORA™ ID S v1.2 (SLJ52GxxyyyzS) Security Target, Rev 2.8 16 April 2025

(This is the end of this report.)